

Decay of correlations and central limit theorem for meromorphic maps

Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony

October 1, 2004

Abstract

Let f be a dominating meromorphic self-map of large topological degree on a compact Kähler manifold. We give a new construction of the equilibrium measure μ of f and prove that μ is exponentially mixing. Then, we deduce the central limit theorem for Lipschitzian observables.

1 Introduction

Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension k . The Kähler form ω is normalized by $\int \omega^k = 1$. Consider a dominating meromorphic self-map f on X , i.e. a map whose image contains a Zariski open set. We assume that the topological degree d_t of f is strictly larger than the dynamical degree d_{k-1} of order $k-1$. For such a map, one knows that $d_t^{-n} f^{n*}(\omega^k)$ converges to an invariant measure μ . The measure μ is the unique measure of maximal entropy and does not charge pluripolar sets. Two different constructions of μ are given in [16, 7]. See also [26, 25, 1] for the case of projective spaces, [6] for polynomial-like maps and [15, 27, 8, 9] for the bounds of the entropy.

The dd^c -method that we used in different situations [6, 7, 10, 11] leads us to precise controls of the convergence. For the maps that we consider, it allowed to prove that μ is exponentially mixing for q.p.s.h. observables; in particular, for $\mathcal{C}^2$ observables. We then deduce the central limit theorem (CLT for short) via a classical result of Gordin-Liverani. See [13] for holomorphic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$, [4, 18] and the references therein for the case of dimension 1.

In this article, we develop another method (d-method) which gives a new construction of the measure μ and allows to prove analogous statistical results for Lipschitzian observables. We obtain in particular the following result.

The measure μ is exponentially mixing in the following sense: for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $A_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|\langle \mu, (\psi \circ f^n) \varphi \rangle - \langle \mu, \psi \rangle \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle| \leq A_\epsilon \|\psi\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_{\text{Lip}} (d_{k-1} + \epsilon)^{n/2} d_t^{-n/2}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, every function $\psi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and every Lipschitzian function φ . In particular, if a real-valued Lipschitzian function φ is not a coboundary and verifies $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$ then it satisfies the CLT theorem.

This result is in fact valid for a larger space of test functions (Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.2). We recall in Section 2 the Gordin-Liverani theorem, in Section 3 some properties of the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$. The space of test functions $W_*^{1,2}$ and a subspace $W_{**}^{1,2}$ are introduced in Section 4. This is the key point of the method. The new space $W_*^{1,2}$ seems to be useful for complex dynamics in several variables. In complex dimension 1 it coincides with the space $W^{1,2}$. In higher dimension it takes into account, the fact that not all currents of bidegree $(1,1)$ are closed. It enjoys the composition properties under meromorphic maps, useful for a space of observables. In Section 5, we give the new construction of μ and in Section 6 its statistical properties. Note that the geometric decay of correlations for Hénon maps was recently proved in [5] using the dd^c-method.

Notations. We will use different subspaces of $L^1(X)$. Most of them carry a canonical (quasi)-norm. For the space $\mathcal{C}^0(X)$ of continuous functions we use the sup-norm, and for the space $\text{Lip}(X)$ of Lipschitzian functions the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1} + \|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}}$ where $\|\varphi\|_{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{x \neq y} |f(x) - f(y)| \text{dist}(x, y)^{-1}$. We use the norm $\|\cdot\|_E + \|\cdot\|_F$ for the intersection $E \cap F$ of E and F and write L^p instead of $L^p(X)$ when there is no confusion. The L^p norm of a form is the sum of L^p norms of its coefficients for a fixed atlas of X . The topology, that we consider, is a **weak topology**. That is, $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in E if $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in the sense of distributions and $(\|\varphi_n\|_E)$ is bounded. The continuity of linear operators $\Lambda : E \rightarrow F$ is with respect to these topologies of E and F . The inclusion map $E \subset L^1(X)$ is always bounded for the associated (quasi)-norms and continuous in our sense.

2 Gordin-Liverani theorem

Let (X, μ) be a probability space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable map in the sense that $f(A)$ and $f^{-1}(A)$ are measurable for every measurable set A . Assume that μ is invariant ($f_*(\mu) = \mu$) and ergodic. The classical Birkhoff ergodic theorem says that if φ is in $L^1(\mu)$ then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i$ converges almost everywhere to $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle$. In particular, when φ is a function in $L^1(\mu)$ such that $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i$ converges almost everywhere to 0.

Assume that $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$. Recall that φ is a *coboundary* if there exists a measurable function ψ such that $\varphi = \psi \circ f - \psi$. It is easy to check for a coboundary φ that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i$ converges to 0 almost surely. We say that a real-valued function $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$ satisfies the *central limit theorem* if $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i$ converges in law to a Gaussian random variable of zero mean and variance $\sigma > 0$. That is, for every interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i \in I \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_I \exp \left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) dx.$$

Such a function is not a coboundary.

Observe that f^* acts on $L^2(\mu)$ and preserves the L^2 norm. Hence, we can consider the adjoint Λ of f^* , sometimes called the *Perron-Frobenius operator*. Consider now a real-valued function $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$ which is not a coboundary. The theorem of Gordin-Liverani [14], [22, pp.59, 67] implies that if

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \|\Lambda^n \varphi\|_{L^1(\mu)} < +\infty \tag{1}$$

then φ satisfies the CLT with

$$\sigma^2 = -\langle \mu, \varphi^2 \rangle + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mu, \varphi(\varphi \circ f^n) \rangle.$$

3 Sobolev space

In this section we recall some properties of the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$ that will be used later on, see [19, pp. 23-27].

Consider a compact Riemannian manifold X of dimension $m \geq 2$. The Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$ is the space of real-valued functions φ in $L^2(X)$ such that $d\varphi$, which is defined in the sense of currents, has L^2 coefficients.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the Lebesgue measure on X that we normalize by $\|\mathcal{L}\| = 1$. Define for each L^1 function φ its mean value $m(\varphi) := \int \varphi d\mathcal{L}$. We have the following Poincaré-Sobolev inequality: for every real number p , $1 \leq p \leq 2m/(m-2)$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_X |\varphi - m(\varphi)|^p d\mathcal{L} \right)^{1/p} \leq c \|d\varphi\|_{L^2}$$

for every $\varphi \in W^{1,2}$. In particular, this holds for $p = 1$ or 2 . One deduces that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^p} \leq |m(\varphi)| + c \|d\varphi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1} + c \|d\varphi\|_{L^2}. \quad (2)$$

Hence, $W^{1,2} \subset L^p$. The associated inclusion map is bounded.

We will prove the following proposition for the reader's convenience, see also [2, 17].

Proposition 3.1 *Let $I \subset X$ be a compact set whose Hausdorff $(m-1)$ -dimensional measure $H^{m-1}(I)$ is zero. Let φ be a real-valued function in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(X \setminus I)$. Assume that the coefficients of $d\varphi$ are in $L^2(X \setminus I)$. Then φ belongs to $W^{1,2}$. Moreover, there exist a compact set $M \subset X \setminus I$ and a constant $c > 0$, both independent of φ , such that*

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1(X)} \leq c \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^1(M)} + \|d\varphi\|_{L^1(X)} \right).$$

Proof. First, assume that $\varphi \in L^1(X)$. Then $d\varphi$ defines a flat current of degree 1 on X [12]. Since $d\varphi$ has coefficients in $L^2(X \setminus I)$, $d\varphi|_{X \setminus I}$ defines also a flat current on X . It follows that $d\varphi - d\varphi|_{X \setminus I}$ is a flat current of dimension $m-1$ with support in I . Since $H^{m-1}(I) = 0$, by the support theorem [12, 4.1.20], this current vanishes. Hence, $d\varphi = d\varphi|_{X \setminus I}$. Using a regularization and the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality, we can approach φ in $L^1(X)$ by a bounded sequence $(\varphi_n) \subset W^{1,2}$. It follows that $\varphi \in W^{1,2}$.

Now, it is sufficient to prove the estimate in the proposition. Consider a chart D of X that we identify to $] -2, 2[\times B_2$ where B_r is the ball of center 0 and of radius r in $\mathbb{R}^{m-1}$. Consider also the local coordinates $x = (x_1, x')$

with $x \in]-2, 2[$ and $x' \in B_2$. We can assume that $I \cap D \subset \{|x_1| < 1\}$ since $H^{m-1}(I) = 0$. Let χ be a smooth positive function with compact support in $] - 2, 2[$ such that $\chi = 1$ on $[-1, 1]$. We need only to bound the L^1 norm of $\chi\varphi$ in $] - 2, 2[\times B_1$ by a multiple of the L^1 norm of $d(\chi\varphi)$ in $] - 2, 2[\times B_1 \setminus I$.

Consider the projection $\pi : D \rightarrow B_2$ defined by $\pi(x) := x'$. Then, $\pi(I \cap D)$ is a closed subset of B_2 with $H^{m-1}(\pi(I \cap D)) = 0$. Hence, since $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X \setminus I)$, for almost every $a \in B_1$, the restriction of $\chi\varphi$ and $d(\chi\varphi)$ to $L_a := \pi^{-1}(a)$ are of class L^1 . Since $(\chi\varphi)|_{L_a}$ has compact support in $] - 2, 2[$, we have

$$\|(\chi\varphi)|_{L_a}\|_{L^1} \leq 4\|d(\chi\varphi)|_{L_a}\|_{L^1}.$$

The Fubini theorem implies that the L^1 norm of $\chi\varphi$ on $] - 2, 2[\times B_1$ is bounded by 4 times the L^1 norm of $d(\chi\varphi)$ on $] - 2, 2[\times B_1 \setminus I$. □

4 A space of test functions

Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension k and $\mathcal{L} := \omega^k$. We assume that $\|\mathcal{L}\| = 1$. The space of test functions that we consider is a subspace $W_*^{1,2}$ of the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$ of X . A real-valued function $\varphi \in W^{1,2}$ is in $W_*^{1,2}$ if there exists a closed current Θ of bidegree $(1, 1)$ on X such that $i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \leq \Theta$. The current Θ is necessarily positive since $i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi$ is positive. We identify two functions in $W_*^{1,2}$ if they are equal out of a subset of $\mathcal{L}$ measure zero. For $\varphi \in W_*^{1,2}$ define

$$\|\varphi\|_* := |m(\varphi)| + \inf\{\|\Theta\|^{1/2}, \Theta \text{ as above}\}.$$

Observe that $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a quasi-norm. Indeed, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$i(\partial\varphi + \partial\psi) \wedge (\bar{\partial}\varphi + \bar{\partial}\psi) \leq 2i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi + 2i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi,$$

hence $\|\varphi + \psi\|_* \leq \sqrt{2}(\|\varphi\|_* + \|\psi\|_*)$.

A subset of $W_*^{1,2}$ is bounded if $\|\cdot\|_*$ is bounded on this set. We have $W_*^{1,2} \subset W^{1,2} \subset L^2$ and the associated inclusion maps are bounded and continuous. Observe also that real-valued Lipschitzian functions belong to this space and when $k = 1$ we have $W_*^{1,2} = W^{1,2}$.

We have the following proposition

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitzian function and φ be a function in $W_*^{1,2}$. Then $\chi \circ \varphi$ belongs to $W_*^{1,2}$. In particular, $\varphi^+ := \max(\varphi, 0)$, $\varphi^- := \max(-\varphi, 0)$ and $|\varphi|$ belong to $W_*^{1,2}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of φ such that $\|\varphi^+\|_* \leq c\|\varphi\|_*$, $\|\varphi^-\|_* \leq c\|\varphi\|_*$ and $\||\varphi|\|_* \leq c\|\varphi\|_*$. If φ_1, φ_2 are in $W_*^{1,2}$, we have $\max(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in W_*^{1,2}$.*

Proof. Since χ is Lipschitzian, there exist $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ such that $|\chi(x)| \leq a + b|x|$. We can choose $a := \chi(0)$ and $b := \|\chi\|_{\text{Lip}}$. Then, $|\chi \circ \varphi| \leq a + b|\varphi|$. It follows that $\chi \circ \varphi$ is in L^2 . We also have

$$i\partial(\chi \circ \varphi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\chi \circ \varphi) = i(\chi' \circ \varphi)^2 \partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \leq ib^2 \partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \leq b^2 \Theta$$

where Θ is the positive closed current associated to φ . Hence $\chi \circ \varphi \in W_*^{1,2}$.

We can apply this for the functions $\chi(x) = \max(x, 0)$, $\max(-x, 0)$ or $|x|$ and for $a = 0, b = 1$. We obtain that $\varphi^+, \varphi^-, |\varphi|$ belong to $W_*^{1,2}$. The estimates on their norms follow the above inequalities and the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality (2). For $\max(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, it is sufficient to write $\max(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \max(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2, 0) + \varphi_2$.

□

Recall that an L^1 function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is *quasi-plurisubharmonic* (q.p.s.h. for short) if it is upper semi-continuous and verifies $\text{dd}^c \varphi \geq -c\omega$ in the sense of currents for some constant $c > 0$. Note that a q.p.s.h. function is defined at every point of X . Such a function belongs to L^p for every real number $p \geq 1$, see [3, 21, 26]. A subset Y of X is called *pluripolar* if it is contained in the pole set $\{\varphi = -\infty\}$ of a q.p.s.h. function φ .

Let DSH denote the space generated by q.p.s.h. functions. That is, d.s.h. functions can be written, outside a pluripolar set, as $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ with φ_i q.p.s.h. We identify two d.s.h. functions if they are equal outside a pluripolar set. If φ is d.s.h. then $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi = T^+ - T^-$ where $T^\pm$ are positive closed $(1, 1)$ -currents. Define a norm on DSH by

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{DSH}} := |m_\varphi| + \inf\{\|T^+\|, T^\pm \text{ as above}\}.$$

Observe that since T^+ and T^- are cohomologous, they have the same mass. Recall that the mass of a positive (p, p) -current S is given by $\|S\| = \langle S, \omega^{k-p} \rangle$.

Lemma 4.2 *We have $\text{Lip}(X) \subset W_*^{1,2}$ and $\text{DSH} \cap L^\infty \subset W_*^{1,2}$. Moreover, the corresponding inclusion maps are bounded and continuous.*

Proof. The inclusion $\text{Lip}(X) \subset W_*^{1,2}$ and the properties of the associated map are clear. Recall that we use the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1} + \|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}}$ for $\text{Lip}(X)$.

Let $\varphi \in \text{DSH} \cap L^\infty$ and $T^\pm$ as above. We have

$$2i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi^2 - 2\varphi i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi^2 + 2\|\varphi\|_\infty(T^+ + T^-).$$

The right hand side is a closed current cohomologous to $4\|\varphi\|_\infty T^+$. Its mass is equal to $4\|\varphi\|_\infty\|T^+\|$. Hence $\varphi \in W_*^{1,2}$. We also deduce that

$$\|\varphi\|_* \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty + \sqrt{2\|\varphi\|_\infty\|\varphi\|_{\text{DSH}}}.$$

It follows that the inclusion map $\text{DSH} \cap L^\infty \subset W_*^{1,2}$ is bounded. The continuity follows. $\square$

Let ν be a positive measure on X . We say that ν is *WPC* if its restriction to smooth real-valued functions can be extended to a linear form on $W_*^{1,2}$ which is bounded and continuous with respect to the weak topology we consider. Let $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_*$ denote the value of this linear form on $\varphi \in W_*^{1,2}$. We have $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$ for φ smooth.

If a continuous function φ can be uniformly approximated by a bounded sequence in $W_*^{1,2}$ of smooth functions, then $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$. If ν is given by a (k, k) -form with coefficient in L^2 then ν is WPC because $W_*^{1,2} \subset L^2$ and we can take $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$.

In general, we don't know if smooth functions are dense in $W_*^{1,2}$ nor in $W_*^{1,2} \cap \mathcal{C}^0(X)$. Hence, we don't know if the extension of μ is unique and a priori we don't have $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$ on $W_*^{1,2} \cap \mathcal{C}^0(X)$. The method, used in [9] to regularize currents, might give the solution to this question.

The proof of the following proposition is left to the reader. One may apply the classical method of regularization using the diffeomorphisms of X or the holomorphic automorphisms when X is homogeneous.

Proposition 4.3 *Smooth functions are dense in $\text{Lip}(X)$ for the strong topology. In particular, we have $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$ for ν WPC and φ Lipschitzian. When $k = 1$ or X is homogeneous, smooth functions are dense in $W_*^{1,2}$ and every function in $W_*^{1,2} \cap \mathcal{C}^0(X)$ can be uniformly approximated by a bounded sequence in $W_*^{1,2}$ of smooth functions. In these cases, $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$ holds for ν WPC and φ in $W_*^{1,2} \cap \mathcal{C}^0(X)$.*

Lemma 4.4 *Let ν be a WPC measure and φ be a bounded q.p.s.h. function. Then $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$.*

Proof. We can assume that φ is strictly negative. By Demailly's regularization theorem [3], there exist negative smooth functions φ_n decreasing to φ such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_n \geq -c\omega$ where $c > 0$ is independent of n . It follows that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\text{DSH} \cap L^\infty(X)$ for the weak topology that we consider. Lemma 4.2 implies that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $W_*^{1,2}$. Hence

$$\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle = \lim \langle \nu, \varphi_n \rangle = \lim \langle \nu, \varphi_n \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_*.$$

□

Proposition 4.5 *Let $\nu = \alpha + \partial u + \bar{\partial} v$ be a positive measure on X where α , u and v are forms with coefficients in L^2 . Then ν is WPC.*

Proof. If φ is smooth, we have

$$\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle = \langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle + \langle u, \partial \varphi \rangle + \langle v, \bar{\partial} \varphi \rangle.$$

The right hand side can be extended to a continuous linear form on $W_*^{1,2}$. Hence ν is WPC.

□

Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.5 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6 *Let $\nu = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge \beta$ be a positive measure on X where α and β are closed real-valued continuous forms of bidegree (k, k) and $(k-1, k-1)$ respectively, and u is a bounded d.s.h. function. Then ν is WPC.*

Let $Y \subset X$ be a Borel set. Define the *W-capacity* of Y by

$$\text{W-cap}(Y) := \sup\{\nu(Y), \nu \text{ a WPC probability measure}\}.$$

Let $W_{**}^{1,2}$ denote the space of functions $\varphi \in W_*^{1,2}$ which are continuous outside a compact set of W-capacity zero. We associate to this space the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ and the corresponding topology (see Introduction). We have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.7 *If Y is a pluripolar subset of X , then $\text{W-cap}(Y) = 0$. In particular, countable unions of proper analytic subsets of X have W-capacity zero.*

Proof. Let ψ be a q.p.s.h. function such that $\psi < -4$, $i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq -\omega$ and $\psi = -\infty$ on Y . Let χ be an increasing convex function on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that $\chi = -3$ on $[-\infty, -4]$, $\chi(x) = x$ on $[-2, +\infty[$ and $0 \leq \chi' \leq 1$ on $[-4, -2]$. Define $\chi_n(x) := \chi(x + n) - n$ and $\psi_n := \chi_n \circ \psi$. The functions ψ_n satisfy $\psi_n \leq -3$ and decrease to ψ . We have

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_n = (\chi_n'' \circ \psi)i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi + (\chi_n' \circ \psi)i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq (\chi_n' \circ \psi)i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq -\omega.$$

Define $\varphi := -\log(-\psi)$ and $\varphi_n := -\log(-\psi_n)$. The functions φ_n decrease to φ and satisfy $\psi_n < -1$. The function φ is in L^2 since $\psi \leq \varphi < 0$. We have

$$i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi = i\psi^{-2}\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi$$

and

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi = -i\psi^{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi + i\psi^{-2}\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi.$$

It follows that $i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi + i\psi^{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi + \omega$. The positive closed current $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi + \omega$ is cohomologous to ω ; its mass is equal to 1. The functions φ_n satisfy a similar inequality. Hence φ_n converge to φ in $W_*^{1,2}$. This and Lemma 4.4 imply that

$$\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle = \lim \langle \nu, \varphi_n \rangle = \lim \langle \nu, \varphi_n \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_*$$

for every WPC measure ν . Hence $\nu(Y) = 0$ and $\text{W-cap}(Y) = 0$ since $\varphi = -\infty$ on Y . When Y is a proper analytic subset of X , by [7], Y is pluripolar. Then $\text{W-cap}(Y) = 0$. □

Remark 4.8 (a) Proposition 4.7 shows that the integral $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$ makes sense for every WPC measure ν and every bounded d.s.h. function φ . Such a function is defined out of a pluripolar set which has ν measure zero.

(b) The above proof shows that if ψ is a strictly negative q.p.s.h. function, then the function $\varphi := -\log(-\psi)$ is in $W_*^{1,2}$. The function φ satisfies $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$ and could be unbounded.

5 Equilibrium measure

Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension k as in Section 4. Consider a dominating meromorphic self-map f on X . Let I_n be the indeterminacy set of f^n . Define

$$d_{p,n} := \int_{X \setminus I_n} f^{n*}(\omega^p) \wedge \omega^{k-p}.$$

In [8, 9], we proved that the limit $d_p := \lim(d_{p,n})^{1/n}$ always exists. It is called the *dynamical degree* of order p of f and is a bimeromorphic invariant. The last degree $d_t := d_k$ is the *topological degree* of f . Define $\delta_n := d_{k-1,n}$. For example, if f is a meromorphic map in $\mathbb{P}^2$, δ_n is just the algebraic degree of f^n . If f is holomorphic in $\mathbb{P}^k$ of algebraic degree d , then $\delta_n = d^{(k-1)n}$, $d_{k-1} = d^{k-1}$ and $d_t = d^k$.

Let M be the family of positive measures on X which does not charge proper analytic sets. If ν is such a measure, $f^{n*}(\nu)$ is well defined and $\|f^{n*}(\nu)\| = d_t^n \|\nu\|$ since f^n is locally biholomorphic outside an analytic set and a generic fiber of f^n contains exactly d_t^n points.

Let $M(\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$, denote the set of probability measures $\nu \in M$ such that $|\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle| \leq \alpha \|\varphi\|_*$ for every $\varphi \in W_*^{1,2} \cap L^\infty(X)$ which is continuous out of an analytic set. By Poincaré-Sobolev inequality (2), we have $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} \leq c \|\varphi\|_*$, $c > 0$. Hence, if $\|\nu\|_{L^2} \leq \alpha c^{-1}$ then $\nu \in M(\alpha)$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1 *Let X and f be as above. Assume that $d_t > d_{k-1}$. Let ν_n be a probability measure in $M(\alpha_n)$. If $\lim \alpha_n^2 \delta_n d_t^{-n} = 0$ then $d_t^{-n} f^{n*}(\nu_n)$ converge weakly to a measure μ . Moreover, μ does not depend on (ν_n) and μ is WPC.*

Of course, we have $\mu = \lim d_t^{-n} f^{n*}(\omega^k)$. This is the equilibrium measure of f . Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 4.7 show that μ does not charge pluripolar sets. The previous relation implies that $f^*(\mu) = d_t \mu$ and $f_*(\mu) = \mu$.

Consider a Zariski open set Ω_n of X such that f is locally biholomorphic and proper on $f^{-1}(\Omega_n)$. Let Θ be a positive closed current of bidegree (p, p) on X . Then we can define $\Theta_n := (f^n)_*(\Theta)$ on Ω_n . If this current has finite mass, its trivial extension is a positive closed current that we denote by $(f^n)_*(\Theta)$. The following lemma shows that this is the case, see also [8, 9]. The choice of Ω_n is not important.

Lemma 5.2 *There exists a constant $c > 0$ which depends only on (X, ω) such that $\|\Theta_n\| \leq c d_{k-p,n} \|\Theta\|$.*

Proof. We can assume that $\|\Theta\| = 1$. The following constants c and c' depend only on (X, ω) . By [8, 9], there exists a sequence of smooth positive (p, p) -forms Ψ_m which converges to a current $\Psi \geq \Theta$ and such that $\|\Psi_m\| \leq c'$.

Hence the classes of Ψ_m in $H^{p,p}(X, \mathbb{C})$ are bounded. It follows that there exists $c > 0$ such that $c\omega^p - \Psi_m$ is cohomologous to a positive closed form for every m .

Let Γ_n be the graph of f^n which is an analytic subset of dimension k of $X \times X$. If π_1, π_2 are the canonical projections of $X \times X$ onto its factors and $[\Gamma_n]$ is the current of integration on Γ_n then the positive closed currents

$$(f^n)_*(\Psi_m) := (\pi_2)_*(\pi_1^*(\Psi_m) \wedge [\Gamma_n])$$

are well defined; they have no mass on analytic sets because they have L^1 coefficients. Since the mass of a positive closed current depends only on its cohomology class, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f^n)_*(\Psi_m)\| &\leq c\|(f^n)_*(\omega^p)\| = c \int (f^n)_*(\omega^p) \wedge \omega^{k-p} \\ &= c \int \omega^p \wedge f^{n*}(\omega^{k-p}) = cd_{k-p,n}. \end{aligned}$$

The previous integrals are computed on Zariski open sets where the forms are smooth. Finally, we have

$$\|\Theta_n\| \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(f^n)_*(\Psi_m)\| \leq cd_{k-p,n}.$$

□

For every point z out of an analytic subset of X , $f^{-1}(z)$ contains exactly d_t points. We can define the Perron-Frobenius operator on the space of measurable functions by

$$\Lambda\varphi(z) := d_t^{-1}f_*(\varphi) = \frac{1}{d_t} \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(z)} \varphi(w).$$

Since ν_n gives no mass to analytic sets, the probability measure $d_t^{-n}f^{n*}(\nu_n)$ is well defined and we have

$$\langle d_t^{-n}f^{n*}(\nu_n), \varphi \rangle = \langle \nu_n, \Lambda^n \varphi \rangle$$

for every φ integrable with respect to $f^{n*}(\nu_n)$.

Lemma 5.3 *The operator $\Lambda : W_*^{1,2} \rightarrow W_*^{1,2}$ is well defined, bounded and continuous. When φ is in $W_{**}^{1,2}$, so is $\Lambda\varphi$.*

Proof. Fix a Zariski open set Ω where $\Lambda\varphi$ is well defined. The choice of Ω is not important. Fix also a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ big enough. We have $\Lambda\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $\|\Lambda\varphi\|_{L^1(K)} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{L^1}$, $c > 0$.

If f_* is the restriction of f_* to a suitable Zariski open set, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$i\partial(\Lambda\varphi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\Lambda\varphi) = d_t^{-2}f_*(\partial\varphi) \wedge f_*(\bar{\partial}\varphi) \leq d_t^{-1}f_*(i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi) \leq d_t^{-1}f_*(\Theta)$$

where Θ is a positive closed current such that $i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \leq \Theta$ and $\|\Theta\| \leq \|\varphi\|_*^2$. Lemma 5.2 imply that $f_*(\Theta)$ is positive closed and $\|f_*(\Theta)\| \leq c\delta_1\|\varphi\|_*^2$. In particular, $d(\Lambda\varphi)$ has coefficients in L^2 . Proposition 3.1 implies that $\Lambda\varphi \in W^{1,2}$ and

$$\|\Lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq c'(\|\Lambda\varphi\|_{L^1(K)} + \|d(\Lambda\varphi)\|_{L^2}) \leq c''\|\varphi\|_*.$$

It follows that the operator $\Lambda : W_*^{1,2} \rightarrow W_*^{1,2}$ is bounded. The continuity follows.

When $\varphi \in W_{**}^{1,2}$, Lemma 4.7 implies that $\Lambda\varphi \in W_{**}^{1,2}$. □

Consider a function $\varphi \in W_*^{1,2}$. Define $c_0 := m(\varphi)$, $\varphi_0 := \varphi - c_0$, $c_{n+1} := m(\Lambda\varphi_n)$ and $\varphi_{n+1} := \Lambda\varphi_n - c_{n+1}$. We have

$$\Lambda^n\varphi = c_0 + \cdots + c_n + \varphi_n.$$

Lemma 5.4 *There exists a constant $A > 0$ independent of φ such that $|c_n| \leq A\|\varphi\|_*\delta_{n-1}^{1/2}d_t^{-(n-1)/2}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\|\varphi_n\|_* \leq A\|\varphi\|_*\delta_n^{1/2}d_t^{-n/2}$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let Θ be as above. Define $\Theta_n := d_t^{-n}(f^n)_*(\Theta)$. Lemma 5.2 implies that $\|\Theta_n\| \leq A^2\|\varphi\|_*^2\delta_n d_t^{-n}$ where $A > 0$ is a constant. As in Lemma 5.3, we obtain $i\partial\varphi_n \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi_n \leq \Theta_n$. Since $m(\varphi_n) = 0$, we have $\|\varphi_n\|_* \leq \|\Theta_n\|^{1/2}$. Hence $\|\varphi_n\|_* \leq A\|\varphi\|_*\delta_n^{1/2}d_t^{-n/2}$. We then deduce from Lemma 5.3 that $|c_n| \leq \|\Lambda\varphi_{n-1}\|_* \leq A\|\varphi\|_*\delta_{n-1}^{1/2}d_t^{-(n-1)/2}$ for some constant $A > 0$. □

Define $c_\varphi := \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n$. Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 imply that c_φ depends continuously on $\varphi \in W_*^{1,2}$ and $|c_\varphi| \leq A\|\varphi\|_*$ for some constant $A > 0$. Now, assume that φ is smooth. Then $\varphi_n \in W_{**}^{1,2} \cap L^\infty(X)$ with analytic singularities. The hypothesis on ν_n allows the following calculus

$$\langle d_t^{-n}f^{n*}(\nu_n), \varphi \rangle = \langle \nu_n, \Lambda^n\varphi \rangle = c_0 + \cdots + c_n + \langle \nu_n, \varphi_n \rangle$$

and Lemma 5.4 implies that $\langle \nu_n, \varphi_n \rangle$ tends to 0. Hence $\langle d_t^{-n} f^{n*}(\nu_n), \varphi \rangle$ converges to c_φ . It follows that $d_t^{-n} f^{n*}(\nu_n)$ converge weakly to a measure μ independent of (ν_n) . This measure μ is defined by

$$\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle := c_\varphi$$

for φ smooth. The measure μ is WPC since we can extend it to a bounded continuous linear form on $W_*^{1,2}$ by

$$\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle_* := c_\varphi.$$

Lemma 5.5 *We have $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle_* = \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in W_{**}^{1,2}$.*

Proof. We first prove that φ is μ -integrable. By Proposition 4.1, we have $\varphi = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$ with $\varphi^\pm \geq 0$, $\varphi^\pm \in W_{**}^{1,2}$ and $\|\varphi^\pm\|_* \leq c\|\varphi\|_*$. Hence, we can assume that φ is positive and continuous out of a compact set Y of W -capacity zero. If $K \subset X \setminus Y$ is a compact set, the integral of φ on K verifies

$$\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle_K \leq \limsup \langle d_t^{-n} f^{n*}(\omega^k), \varphi \rangle = \lim(c_0 + \dots + c_n) + \limsup \langle \omega^k, \varphi_n \rangle = c_\varphi.$$

Since $\mu(Y) = 0$, φ is μ -integrable and $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle \leq c_\varphi \leq A\|\varphi\|_*$.

Define $b_n := -\sum_{m>n} c_m$ and write $\varphi_n = \varphi_n^+ - \varphi_n^-$ as in Proposition 4.1 with $\varphi_n^\pm \geq 0$, $\varphi_n^\pm \in W_{**}^{1,2}$ and $\|\varphi_n^\pm\|_* \leq c\|\varphi_n\|_*$. We have $\langle \mu, \varphi_n^\pm \rangle \leq A\|\varphi_n^\pm\|_* \leq Ac\|\varphi_n\|_*$. Using the properties that μ is WPC and $f^*(\mu) = d_t\mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle - c_\varphi| &= |\langle \mu, \Lambda^n \varphi - c_\varphi \rangle| \leq |b_n| + |\langle \mu, \varphi_n \rangle| \\ &\leq |b_n| + \langle \mu, \varphi_n^+ \rangle + \langle \mu, \varphi_n^- \rangle \\ &\leq |b_n| + 2Ac\|\varphi_n\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.4 implies that the last expression tends to 0. Hence $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = c_\varphi$. $\square$

6 Mixing and central limit theorem

In this section, we prove the geometric decay of correlations for the meromorphic maps that we are considering. We then deduce, via the Gordin-Liverani theorem, the CLT for Lipschitzian observables.

Theorem 6.1 *Let f be as in Theorem 5.1. Then the equilibrium measure μ of f is exponentially mixing in the following sense: there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that*

$$|\langle \mu, (\psi \circ f^n)\varphi \rangle - \langle \mu, \psi \rangle \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle| \leq A \|\psi\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_* \delta_n^{1/2} d_t^{-n/2}$$

for any $n \geq 0$ and every real-valued functions $\psi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $\varphi \in W_{**}^{1,2}$.

Proof. Replacing φ by $\varphi - c_\varphi$, we can assume that $c_\varphi = \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$. Assume also that $\|\varphi\|_* = 1$ and $\|\psi\|_\infty = 1$. The constants A_i and A below do not depend on n , ψ and φ .

We keep the notations of the last section. Recall that $\|\varphi_n\|_* \leq A_1 \delta_n^{1/2} d_t^{-n/2}$ and $\Lambda^n \varphi = b_n + \varphi_n$. It is proved in [8, 9] that $((c\delta_n)^{1/n})$ is decreasing for $c > 0$ large enough. Hence, by Lemma 5.4, $|b_n| \leq A_2 \delta_n^{1/2} d_t^{-n/2}$. As in Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we get

$$\langle \mu, \varphi_n^\pm \rangle = \langle \mu, \varphi_n^\pm \rangle_* \leq A_3 \|\varphi_n^\pm\|_* \leq A_4 \delta_n^{1/2} d_t^{-n/2}.$$

We obtain from the relation $f^*(\mu) = d_t \mu$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mu, (\psi \circ f^n)\varphi \rangle| &= |\langle \mu, \psi \Lambda^n \varphi \rangle| \\ &\leq |b_n| \langle \mu, |\psi| \rangle + |\langle \mu, \psi \varphi_n \rangle| \\ &\leq |b_n| + \langle \mu, |\psi| \varphi_n^+ \rangle + \langle \mu, |\psi| \varphi_n^- \rangle \\ &\leq A_2 \delta_n^{1/2} d_t^{-n/2} + \langle \mu, \varphi_n^+ \rangle + \langle \mu, \varphi_n^- \rangle \\ &\leq A \delta_n^{1/2} d_t^{-n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.2 *Let f and μ be as in Theorem 5.1. Let φ be a bounded function in $W_{**}^{1,2}$ such that $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$. Then if φ is not a coboundary, it satisfies the CLT. In particular, this holds for Lipschitzian functions φ which satisfy $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$ and are not coboundaries.*

Proof. If $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$, then

$$\|\Lambda^n \varphi\|_{L^1(\mu)} = \sup_{\|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1} |\langle \mu, \psi \Lambda^n \varphi \rangle| = \sup_{\|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1} |\langle \mu, (\psi \circ f^n)\varphi \rangle|.$$

Hence, the condition (1) is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.1. We then apply the Gordin-Liverani theorem.

□

Remark 6.3 (a) All results still hold if we replace the closed current Θ in the definition of $W_*^{1,2}$ by a $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed current. The regularization of $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed currents [9] allows to prove an analogue of Lemma 5.2.

(b) We say that a positive measure ν is *PC* if its restriction to smooth real-valued functions can be extended to a linear continuous form on DSH. One can prove, using Demailly's regularization theorem as in Lemma 4.4, that d.s.h. functions are ν -integrable and the extension of ν is equal to the integral $\langle \nu, \varphi \rangle$ on $\varphi \in \text{DSH}$. In [7], we proved that $\Lambda : \text{DSH} \rightarrow \text{DSH}$ is well defined, bounded and continuous. Using Hartogs lemma, one verifies that every $\varphi \in \text{DSH}$ can be written as $\varphi = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$ with $\varphi^\pm \geq 0$ and $\|\varphi^\pm\|_{\text{DSH}} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{\text{DSH}}$, $c > 0$. Then, we prove as above that μ is PC and exponentially mixing in the sense that

$$|\langle \mu, (\psi \circ f^n) \varphi \rangle - \langle \mu, \psi \rangle \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle| \leq A \|\psi\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_{\text{DSH}} \delta_n d_t^{-n}$$

for any $n \geq 0$, $\psi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $\varphi \in \text{DSH}$ (see [7]). Hence, bounded d.s.h. functions satisfy the CLT. One can construct bounded q.p.s.h. functions which are nowhere continuous. They satisfy the CLT. As far as we know, this property is new even for rational fractions in one variable.

(c) The geometric decay of correlations was proved in [6] (see also [13]) for a large class of polynomial-like maps. This implies the CLT for bounded p.s.h. functions. For rational fractions, the same problems were solved for Hölder observables. See [4, 18, 23, 24] and the references therein.

(d) In [20], Cantat and Leborgne have announced the CLT for holomorphic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$ and for Hölder continuous observables. Their idea is to use the Hölder continuity of the Green function of f and a delicate estimate on modulus of annuli in order to apply the Gordin-Livenari theorem.

Acknowledgments. The first named author thanks Guy David and Jean-Christophe L  ger for their help during the preparation of this article.

References

- [1] Briend J.Y., Duval J., Deux caract  risations de la mesure d'  quilibre d'un endomorphisme de $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbb{C})$, *IHES Publ. Math.*, **93** (2001), 145-159.
- [2] David G., Singular sets of minimizers for the Mumford-Shah functional, to appear.

- [3] Demailly J.P., Pseudoconvex-concave duality and regularization of currents. Several complex variables (Berkeley, CA, 1995-1996), 233-271, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, **37**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] Denker M., Przytycki F., Urbański M., On the transfer operator for rational functions on the Riemann sphere, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **16** (1996), no. 2, 255-266.
- [5] Dinh T.C., Decay of correlations for Hénon maps, *preprint*, 2004. arxiv.org/math.DS/0409356.
- [6] Dinh T.C., Sibony N., Dynamique des applications d'allure polynomiale, *Journal de Math. pures et appl.*, **82** (2003), 367-423.
- [7] Dinh T.C., Sibony N., Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications, *preprint*, 2003. arxiv.org/math.DS/0306095.
- [8] Dinh T.C., Sibony N., Une borne supérieure de l'entropie topologique d'une application rationnelle, *Ann. of Math.*, to appear. arxiv.org/math.DS/0303271.
- [9] Dinh T.C., Sibony N., Regularization of currents and entropy, *preprint*, 2004. arxiv.org/math.CV/0407532.
- [10] Dinh T.C., Sibony N., Green currents for automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds, *preprint*, 2003. arxiv.org/math.DS/0311322.
- [11] Dinh T.C., Sibony N., Dynamics of regular birational maps in $\mathbb{P}^k$, *J. Funct. Anal.*, to appear. arxiv.org/math.DS/0406367.
- [12] Federer H., Geometric measure theory, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969.
- [13] Fornæss J.E., Sibony N., Complex dynamics in higher dimension, in *Complex potential theory*, (Montréal, PQ, 1993), Nato ASI series Math. and Phys. Sci., vol. **C439**, Kluwer (1994), 131-186.
- [14] Gordin M.I., The Central Limit Theorem for stationary processes, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **10** n.5 (1969), 1174-1176.
- [15] Gromov M., On the entropy of holomorphic maps, *Enseignement Math.*, **49** (2003), 217-235. *Manuscript* (1977).
- [16] Guedj V., Ergodic properties of rational mappings with large topological degree, *Ann. of Math.*, to appear.

- [17] Harvey R., Polking J., Removable singularities of solutions of linear partial differential equations, *Acta Math.*, **125** (1970), 39–56.
- [18] Haydn N., Convergence of the transfer operator for rational maps, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **19** (1999), no. 3, 657–669.
- [19] Hebey E., Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1635**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [20] Leborgne S., Talk in the ACI, Paris 7, March 2004. Article in preparation.
- [21] Lelong P., Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et formes différentielles positives, Dunod Paris, 1968.
- [22] Liverani C., Central limit theorem for deterministic systems. International Conference on Dynamical Systems (Montevideo, 1995), 56–75, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 362, Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [23] Makarov N., Smirnov S., On "thermodynamics" of rational maps. I. Negative spectrum, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **211** (2000), no. 3, 705–743.
- [24] Ruelle D., Spectral properties of a class of operators associated with conformal maps in two dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **144** (1992), no. 3, 537–556.
- [25] Russakovskii A., Shiffman B., Value distribution for sequences of rational mappings and complex dynamics, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **46** (1997), 897–932.
- [26] Sibony N., Dynamique des applications rationnelles de $\mathbb{P}^k$, *Panoramas et Synthèses*, **8** (1999), 97–185.
- [27] Yomdin Y., Volume growth and entropy, *Israel J. Math.*, **57** (1987), 285–300.

Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony, Mathématique - Bât. 425, UMR 8628,
Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France.

E-mails: (TienCuong.Dinh, Nessim.Sibony)@math.u-psud.fr